arXiv:1502.00233v2 [math.DS] 1 May 2015

A RECONSTRUCTION THEOREM FOR COMPLEX POLYNOMIALS

Luka Boc Thaler
Institute for Mathematics Physics and Mechanics
Ljubljana, Slovenia
luka.boc@imfm.si

Recently Takens' Reconstruction Theorem was studied in the complex analytic setting by Fornæss and Peters [4]. They studied the real orbits of complex polynomials, and proved that for non-exceptional polynomials ergodic properties such as measure theoretic entropy are carried over to the real orbits mapping. Here we show that the result from [4] also holds for exceptional polynomials, unless the Julia set is entirely contained in an invariant vertical line, in which case the entropy is 0.

In [8] Takens proved a reconstruction theorem for endomorphisms. In this case the reconstruction map is not necessarily an embedding, but the information of the reconstruction map is sufficient to recover the $2m+1$-st image of the original map. Our main result shows an analogous statement for the iteration of generic complex polynomials and the projection onto the real axis.

Keywords: Complex dynamics; polynomials; entropy.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 37F10

1. Introduction

In science dynamical systems can be represented, using mathematical methods, with equations which describe the evolution of the system over time. Understanding the dynamics from these equations may be difficult since they may rely on many different parameters. In order to simplify the problem we can suppress some of the parameters and obtain the results from this new equations. It is important to ask whether results obtained this way resemble the original dynamics.

Takens studied this kind of questions in [7] where he has proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a compact manifold of dimension m. For pairs (φ, y), $\varphi : M \to M$ a $\mathcal{C}^2$ diffeomorphism and $y : M \to \mathbb{R}$ a $\mathcal{C}^2$ function, it is a generic property that the map $\Phi_{(\varphi,y)} : M \to \mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$, defined by*

$$\Phi_{(\varphi,y)}(x) = (y(x), y(\varphi(x)), \dots, y(\varphi^{2m}(x)))$$

is an embedding.

Hence all information about the original dynamical system can be retrieved from the suppressed dynamical system. This theorem no longer holds if we do not assume that the map φ is injective. In [8] Takens proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a compact manifold of dimension m and take $k > 2m$. For pairs (φ, y), $\varphi : M \to M$ a smooth endomorphism and $y : M \to \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function, it is a generic property that there exist a map $\tau : \Phi_{(\varphi,y)}(M) \to M$ such that*

$$\tau \circ \Phi_{(\varphi,y)} = \varphi^{k-1},$$

where $\Phi_{(\varphi,y)} : M \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is defined as

$$\Phi_{(\varphi,y)}(x) = (y(x), y(\varphi(x)), \dots, y(\varphi^{k-1}(x))).$$

Moreover if $k > 2m + 1$ then the set $\Phi_{(\varphi,y)}(M)$ completely determines the deterministic structure of the time series produced by the dynamical system.

Recently Fornæss and Peters [4] studied in how far the dynamical behavior of complex polynomials can be deduced from knowing only their real orbits. They proved that for an open and dense set of polynomials, measure theoretical entropy can be recovered from the real part of the orbits. In this paper we extend their result to the set of all polynomials:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $P(z)$ be a complex polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ and $\nu = \Phi_*(\mu)$, where μ is the equilibrium measure for $P(z)$. Then the probability measure ν is invariant and ergodic. Moreover ν is the unique measure of maximal entropy $\log d$, except when the Julia set of P is contained in invariant line, then it has an entropy 0.*

The main difficulty here is that in the exceptional case the induced map on the real orbits map does not extend continuously to the natural compactification. The background and proof of this theorem are given in sections 2 and 3.

The following theorem is our main result. It is in the same spirit as Theorem 1.2 but for complex polynomials and the standard projection to $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.4. *For a generic of polynomial P of degree $d \geq 2$ there exists $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a map $\tau : \Phi(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\tau \circ \Phi = P^M,$$

where

$$\Phi(z) = (\mathrm{Re}(z), \mathrm{Re}(P(z)), \dots, \mathrm{Re}(P^N(z))).$$

Remark 1.1. Note that in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, Takens used a word generic to denote an open and dense set. In the Theorem 1.4 and throughout this paper a generic means a countable intersection of open an dense sets

The constants M and N in the Theorem 1.4 can be chosen to depend only on the degree of a polynomial, but their numerical values are yet to be determined.

One may ask if there exist an upper bound for M, N which is independent of the degree of a polynomial. If we would consider all polynomials, then the answer would obviously be negative. On the other hand there might exist an upper bound which works for a generic polynomial. If such a bound exists, it would be very interesting to find the lowest possible upper bound.

2. Entropy

In this section we recall the definition and some basic properties of measure theoretical entropy. The reader is referred to [6].

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a probability space and $\mathcal{U} = \{A_i\}$ a finite partition, this means that $\mu(X \setminus \cup_i A_i) = 0$ and $\mu(A_i \cap A_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Let $F : X \to X$ be a μ-preserving map (i.e. μ is F invariant). Let us define the n-th partition as

$$\mathcal{U}^n := \bigvee_{k=0}^{n-1} F^{-k}(\mathcal{U}).$$

By the definition every set $A \in \mathcal{U}^n$ is of the form

$$A = A_0 \cap F^{-1}(A_1) \cap \ldots \cap F^{-n+1}(A_{n-1}),$$

where $A_k \in \mathcal{U}$. Measure theoretical entropy $h_\mu(F)$ is now defined as

$$h_\mu(F) = \sup_{\mathcal{U}} \left(\lim_{n\to\infty} -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{U}^n} \mu(A) \log \mu(A) \right). \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(X_1, \mathcal{B}_1, \mu_1)$ and $(X_2, \mathcal{B}_2, \mu_2)$ be probability spaces and let $T_i : X_i \to X_i$ be μ_i-preserving maps. Suppose there is a surjective map $\Phi : X_1 \to X_2$ with the properties $\Phi \circ T_1 = T_2 \circ \Phi$ and $\mu_2 = \Phi_*(\mu_1)$, then $h_{\mu_2}(T_2) \leq h_{\mu_1}(T_1)$.*

In [B1] Bowen proposed the following slightly different definition of measure theoretic entropy.

Let X be a compact topological space, $F : X \to X$ a continuous map and μ an F-invariant ergodic probability measure. Let U be a neighborhood of the diagonal in $X \times X$, and let us define

$$B(x, n, U) = \{y \in X \mid \{(x, y), (F(x), F(y)), \ldots, (F^{n-1}(x), F^{n-1}(y))\} \subset U\}.$$

The entropy function

$$k_\mu(x, F) = \sup_U \left(\lim_{n\to\infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log(\mu(B(x, n, U))) \right). \tag{2.2}$$

is constant $d\mu$-almost everywhere, and the measure theoretic entropy is defined to be this constant. When X is a metric space, the sets $B(x, n, U)$ can be replaced by (n, ε)-balls

$$B(x, n, \varepsilon) = \{y \mid d(F^k(x), F^k(y)) < \varepsilon, k < n\}.$$

When X is compact, $F : X \to X$ a continuous map and μ an F-invariant ergodic probability measure we have $k_\mu(Q) = h_\mu(Q)$ (see [3]).

The next lemmas are standard results in this topic and their slightly modified versions can be found in [4].

Let P be a self map on measurable space X and let Q be a self map on measurable space Y such that there exist a surjective map $\Phi : X \to Y$ with a property $\Phi \circ P = Q \circ \Phi$.

Lemma 2.2. *If λ is invariant on X, then the push-forward ν is invariant on Y. If λ also is ergodic, then the push-forward, ν, is ergodic as well.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let ν be invariant ergodic measure on Y, $E \subset Y$ with $\nu(E) = 0$, and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\#\{\Phi^{-1}(y)\} \leq N$ for any $y \in Y \backslash E$. Then ν is the push forward of an invariant ergodic measure on X.*

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose we have the setting of Lemma 2.3. Let μ be the unique invariant ergodic measure of maximal entropy on X. If $h_\mu(P) = h_\nu(Q)$, then $\nu = \Phi_*(\mu)$ and it is the unique measure of maximal entropy on Y.*

3. Exceptional polynomials

Given a complex polynomial P let us define the set of real orbits as

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \left(\mathrm{Re}(z), \mathrm{Re}(P(z)), \mathrm{Re}(P^2(z)), \ldots\right) | z \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

and observe that the map P naturally defines a shift map

$$\rho : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}.$$

The following lemma is the crucial result of [4].

Lemma 3.1. *There exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with the property: If $\mathrm{Re}(P^k(z)) = \mathrm{Re}(P^k(w))$ holds for every $0 \leq k \leq N$, then it holds for all $k \geq 0$.*

Hence $\mathcal{A}$ can be identified with a two dimensional subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. Let us define a map $\Phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ as

$$\Phi(z) = \left(\mathrm{Re}(z), \mathrm{Re}(P(z)), \ldots, \mathrm{Re}(P^N(z))\right),$$

and let us denote its image by $\mathcal{S}$. The polynomial P induces a map Q on $\mathcal{S}$ with the property

$$\Phi \circ P = Q \circ \Phi.$$

Obviously the dynamics of $(\mathcal{A}, \rho)$ is completely determined by the dynamics of $(\mathcal{S}, Q)$. For a dense and open set of polynomials the map Q is continuous, but the are some cases where this is not true. See [4] for the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *If P is exceptional, then the image $\Phi(\mathbb{C})$ is not closed in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, hence Q is not globally continuous map.*

The following two types of polynomials are called exceptional.

Definition 3.1. A complex polynomial $P(z)$ is strongly exceptional if it maps a vertical line to itself.

Definition 3.2. A complex polynomial $P(z) = a_d z^d + \sum_{k \leq d-2} a_k z^k$ is weakly exceptional if $a_d i^{d-1}$ is real but there is least one $0 \leq k \leq d-2$ for which $a_k i^{k-1}$ is not real.

We say P is non-exceptional if it is not strongly or weakly exceptional. The following theorem is the main result of [4].

Theorem 3.2. *Let P be a non-exceptional complex polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ and $\nu = \Phi_*(\mu)$, where μ is the equilibrium measure for $P(z)$. Then the probability measure ν is invariant and ergodic. Moreover it is the unique measure of maximal entropy,* $\log d$.

The problem for generalizing this theorem lies in Theorem 3.1. For exceptional polynomials the set $\mathcal{S}$ is not closed and Q is not continuous. On the other hand Q is still continuous when restricted to the $\Phi(U)$, where $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is bounded (see the proof of Theorem 2.8 in [4]). By observing that in this case $k_\nu(Q)$ is well defined and that we have an equality between $k_\nu(Q)$ and $h_\nu(Q)$, one can slightly modify the original proof of Fornæss and Peters to obtain a full result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $P(z)$ be a strongly exceptional holomorphic polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ whose Julia set is contained in the invariant vertical line. Then the probability measure ν is invariant and ergodic. The measure ν is a Dirac measure at the origin, hence $h_\nu(Q) = 0$.*

Proof. The measure ν is invariant and ergodic by Lemma 2.1. It is also clear that ν is supported only in the point $\{0\}$. We shall compute the measure theoretic entropy using the definition (2.1). Take any open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ and denote by M the number of sets $\mathcal{U}$ containing 0. There will be only M sets in any $\mathcal{U}^n$ containing 0, hence one concludes that $h_\nu(Q) = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $P(z)$ be a holomorphic polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ with an invariant vertical real line $L \supset P(L)$. If μ denotes invariant ergodic measure, then $\mu(L) = 0$ or $\mu(L) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $A = \mathbb{C} \backslash L$. Since μ is ergodic we need to show that $\mu(A \backslash P^{-1}(A)) = 0$ and $\mu(P^{-1}(A) \backslash A) = 0$. Observe that $P^{-1}(A) \subset A$, hence we only need to prove the first equality. Since μ is also an invariant measure we obtain $\mu(A) = \mu(P^{-1}(A))$, hence the first equality holds and by ergodicity $\mu(A)$ is either 0 or 1. $\square$

A set $\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \mathrm{Re}(P^k(z)) = \mathrm{Re}(P^k(w)) \quad \forall k \geq 0\}$ is called the *mirrored set*. We say that w *mirrors* z iff (z, w) belongs to the mirrored set. A point z is called a *mirrored point* iff there exist a point $w \neq z$ that mirrors it.

Proof. of Theorem 1.3. Let J_P denote the Julia set of $P(z)$ and let $J_Q = \Phi(J_P)$. We can naturally obtain J_Q from knowing $(\mathcal{S}, Q)$, by taking the limit set of the set of all preimages of generic point in $\mathcal{S}$, hence it is compact. To prove this, take a generic point $x \in \mathcal{S}$ and take any point $z \in \Phi^{-1}(x)$. We know that the limit set of all preimages of z under P equals J_P, hence from $\Phi \circ P = Q \circ \Phi$ we can conclude that the limit set of preimages of x under Q equals to $\Phi(J_P)$. This means that we can obtain J_Q directly from the given data, without knowing J_P and P.

The map $Q : J_Q \to J_Q$ is a continuous map (using subspace topology in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$). Since ν is a push-forward of μ it is supported on the compact set J_Q and by Lemma 2.2 it is invariant and ergodic. Under this conditions, see [BK], we get following equality

$$h_\nu(Q) = k_\nu(Q).$$

Let us assume that P is strongly exceptional with an invariant vertical line L, and that J_P is not contained in L, hence $\mu(L) = 0$. The invariance and ergodicity of ν is given by the Lemma 2.2. If we prove that $h_\nu(Q) = \log d$, then Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 will finish the proof.

Let $X \subset \mathcal{S}$ denote the finite set of points $\Phi(z)$ where z is either an isolated mirror point, a singular point of the one dimensional mirror set, an isolated cluster point on the diagonal for mirrored points, or a critical point in either the Julia set or a parabolic basin. We include in X the full orbit for any periodic point in X.

Let Y' be the union of the vertical lines passing through the points in X. By Lemma 3.3 we get $\mu(Y' \cup L) = 0$. Let us denote $Y = Y' \cup L$.

Let $k >> 1$ be an integer. Since $\mu(Y) = 0$, there exists an $\delta_0 > 0$ so that $N_{\delta_0}(Y))$, the δ_0-neighborhood of Y, satisfies $\mu(N_{\delta_0}(Y)) < \frac{1}{k}$. Let z now be a *generic point* in J_P, as defined in [2]. Then it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\#\{0 \le j < n \mid P^j(z) \in N_{\delta_0}(Y)\}}{n} < \frac{1}{k}.$$

As Y has measure 0, we may also assume that the orbit of z never hits the set Y.

Write $\overline{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_N) = \Phi(z)$, and let us estimate the entropy function for $\Phi_*(\nu)$ at $\overline{x}$.

For $\ell < n$ define balls in J_P,

$$B'(n, \ell, \epsilon) := \bigcap_{r=0}^{n-\ell} \{w \in J_P : \rho(\Phi(P^r(w)), \overline{x}_{\ell+r}) < \epsilon\}.$$

Here we use the metric $\rho(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = \max_{0 \le i \le N} |(x_i - y_i)|$ on a large ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ containing the image of the filled-in Julia set.

The idea is to show that for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough,

$$\mu(B'(n, \ell - 1, \epsilon)) \le \frac{\mu(B'(n, \ell, \epsilon))}{d}, \tag{3.1}$$

for all ℓ except at most a fraction of $1/k$, and for those we have

$$\mu(B'(n, \ell - 1, \epsilon)) \le \mu(B'(n, \ell, \epsilon)).$$

It follows that the μ-measure of $B'(n, 0, \epsilon)$ is at most $C(1/d)^{n-\frac{n}{k}}$. Therefore the metric entropy of ν is at least $\log d$. To obtain inequality (3.1) one can simply follow the proof of Lemma 4.10 from [4].

When P is weakly exceptional simply take $L = \emptyset$. Lemma 3.2 now completes the proof of our theorem. □

4. A reconstruction theorem for polynomials

In this section we prove our main theorem. In order to prove it we need a better understanding of a mirrored set and what happens with mirrors under iteration.

Suppose that z mirrors w. We say that the *mirror breaks* at time n if $P^k(z) \neq P^k(w)$ for $k < n$ and $P^n(z) = P^n(w)$.

We first observe that for a polynomial P of degree $d \geq 2$, the map

$$z \to (\mathrm{Re}(z), \mathrm{Re}(P(z)), \mathrm{Re}(P^2(z)), \mathrm{Re}(P^3(z)), \ldots),$$

is not injective. This follows from the following simple observation. Take any point z close to the infinity and observe its preimages $z_k \in P^{-1}(z)$. If $\mathrm{Re}(z_k) \neq \mathrm{Re}(z_j)$ for all $j \neq k$, then by moving our initial point z along the level curve of the Greens function, we can achieve that two preimages satisfy $\mathrm{Re}(z_k) = \mathrm{Re}(z_j)$. Hence z_j mirrors z_k which proves that the set mirrored points is never empty. In this example the mirror between z_k and z_j breaks at time 1 since $P(z_j) = P(z_k)$, therefore we may ask if all mirrors break and if they do, is there an upper bound on the time. Observe that in general mirrors do not need to brake. For example observe that for a real polynomial P a point z is mirrored by $\bar{z}$ and generic mirrors never break. Another example would be a polynomial with two fixed points lying one above the other.

On the other hand the Theorem 1.4 states that for a generic polynomial P all mirrors break at time M or earlier, where this constant depends only on the degree of P.

Lemma 4.1. *If $P(z) = a_d z^d + \ldots + a_1 z + a_0$ is non-exceptional polynomial and $a_d \notin \mathbb{R}$, then in a small neighborhood of infinity all mirrors break at time 1.*

Proof. Near infinity there exist a holomorphic change of coordinates of the form $\xi := z + \mathcal{O}(1)$ that conjugates $P(z)$ to the map $\xi \to a_d\xi$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 in [4] that near infinity any mirrored pair must lie on a level curve $\{|\xi| = R\}$. Observe that such level curves for P are of form $\{z = Re^{i\varphi} + \mathcal{O}(1)\}$, hence near infinity every a mirrored pair (z, w) must satisfy

$$w = \bar{z} + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

It follows that

$$P(w) = a_d w^d + \mathcal{O}(w^{d-1}) = a_d \bar{z}^d + \mathcal{O}(\bar{z}^{d-1}).$$

We also have

$$P(z) = a_d z^d + \mathcal{O}(z^{d-1}),$$

hence

$$P(w) - \overline{P(z)} = (a_d - \bar{a}_d)\bar{z}^d + \mathcal{O}(\bar{z}^{d-1}),$$

which converges to infinity as $z \to \infty$. Hence if z mirrors w then $P(z) = P(w)$. □

In what follows, we will be using only elementary facts about real algebraic sets. The reader is referred to a standard text of Bochnak, Coste and Roy [BCR]. We can identify the set of all complex polynomials of degree at most d with $\mathbb{C}^{d+1}$. Let us define

$$X = \{(z, w, P) \mid \mathrm{Re}(P^k(z)) = \mathrm{Re}(P^k(w)) \quad \forall k \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{d+1}.$$

As was observed by Fornæss and Peters (see Lemma 2.3 [4]), there exist an N such that

$$X = \{(z, w, P) \mid \mathrm{Re}(P^k(z)) = \mathrm{Re}(P^k(w)) \quad k \leq N\},$$

hence X is a real algebraic set.

From here on we will denote the intersection of a set $U \subset X$ with the P-fiber $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \{P\}$ with subscript P, for example $U_P = \big(\mathbb{C}^2 \times \{P\}\big) \cap U$.

Let us define the map $\Psi(z, w, P) = (P(z), P(w), P)$. Observe that Ψ is well-defined map on X that maps X_P into itself. By iterating Ψ we obtain a decreasing sequence

$$X \supset \Psi(X) \supset \Psi^2(X) \supset \dots$$

Since all $\Psi^k(X_P)$ are closed sets it follows that they are also algebraic, hence the sets $\Psi^k(X)$ are also algebraic. Therefore $\Psi^M(X) = \Psi^{M+1}(X)$ for all large M. We define

$$\mathcal{X} := \Psi^M(X).$$

We will need a good description of points on the diagonal $\Delta := \{(z, z, P) \mid z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ that can be approximated by mirrored pairs, i.e. by (z, w, P) where $z \neq w$. Let us write $P(z) = a_d z^d + \ldots + a_1 z + a_0$ and observe that

$$P(z) - P(w) = (z - w)(a_d \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z^k w^{d-1-k} + a_{d-1} \sum_{k=0}^{d-2} z^k w^{d-2-k} + \ldots + a_2(z + w) + a_1),$$

and similarly

$$P^n(z) - P^n(w) = (z - w)R_n(z, w)$$

where the polynomial R_n satisfies $R_n(z, z) = \frac{d}{dz}P^n(z)$. Then the condition $\mathrm{Re}(P^n(z) - P^n(w)) = 0$ implies

$$\mathrm{Re}(R_n(z, w))\mathrm{Re}(z - w) + \mathrm{Im}(R_n(z, w))\mathrm{Im}(z - w) = 0.$$

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0(z,w,P) &= \mathrm{Re}(z-w), \\ Q_n(z,w,P) &= \mathrm{Im}\left(\frac{P^n(z)-P^n(w)}{z-w}\right) = \mathrm{Im}(R_n(z,w)), \end{aligned}$$

and set

$$D = \bigcap_{n\geq 0}\{Q_n = 0\}.$$

Observe that D is a real algebraic variety and that for every polynomial P we have $D_P = \overline{X_P\backslash\Delta_P}$. Observe that for $n \geq 1$

$$Q_n(z,z,P) = \mathrm{Im}(\frac{d}{dz}P^n(z)) = 0.$$

Remark 4.1. *For an open and dense set of polynomials P we have an equality*

$$D_P \cap \Delta_P = \{(z,z,P) \mid P'(z) = 0\}.$$

Remark 4.2. *An open and dense set of polynomials has no Siegel disks*: Suppose that P has a Siegel disk. Then we can take an arbitrarily small perturbation of the polynomial for which the neutral periodic point at the center of the Siegel disk becomes attracting. As attracting periodic points are stable under sufficiently small perturbations and since the number of periodic attracting cycles is bounded by the degree of the polynomial minus 1, the set of polynomials for which the number of distinct attracting cycles is locally maximal is open and dense, and these polynomials do not have Siegel disks.

Remark 4.3. *The set of non-exceptional polynomials whose leading coefficient is non-real and whose critical set does not contain any periodic cycle, is open and dense.*

Remark 4.4. *For a generic polynomial P, no two periodic points are mirrored.* For any non-exceptional polynomial, a periodic point can be mirrored only by another pre-periodic point. Observe that if we conjugate a polynomial P by a rotation, i.e. $P_\varphi(z) = e^{i\varphi}P(ze^{-i\varphi})$, there are only countably many $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$ for which there are two periodic points lying one above the other.

Proof. of Theorem 1.4. With a slight abuse of notation we consider a P-fiber of $\mathcal{X}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$, i.e. $\mathcal{X}_P \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \times \{P\}$.

For given polynomial P et us define

$$Y_n = \{(z,w) \mid \mathrm{Re}(P^k(z) - P^k(w)) = 0 \quad \forall k < n, \quad P^n(z) = P^n(w)\}.$$

The algebraic sets Y_n form an increasing sequence $Y_n \subset Y_{n+1} \subset X$ and satisfy $P(Y_{n+1}) \subset Y_n$, where $P(z,w) := (P(z), P(w))$. As before the decreasing sequence of algebraic sets $\overline{\mathcal{X}_P \backslash Y_n}$ stabilizes at some K, and we define

$$\mathcal{Y}_P = \overline{\mathcal{X}_P \backslash Y_K}.$$

A generic polynomial P of degree at most d satisfies the assumptions of Remarks 4.1 through 4.4. We will prove that under this assumptions on P the set $\mathcal{Y}_P$ is an empty set. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is Ψ invariant, it follows that $\mathcal{Y}_P$ is P invariant. It follows from Lemma 3.10 of [4] that for a non-real, non-exceptional polynomial P the set $\mathcal{Y}_P$ is a one dimensional real algebraic variety, and by Lemma 4.1 it is compact. Since $\mathcal{Y}_P$ is real algebraic it has only finitely many connected components and since $\mathcal{Y}_P$ is P invariant, one can conclude that isolated points of $\mathcal{Y}_P$ can only be pairs of mirrored periodic points.

Since P satisfies the assumption of Remark 4.4, the isolated points of $\mathcal{Y}_P$ can only be pairs (z,z) where z is a periodic point of P. By the assumption of Remark 4.3, the set of critical points does not contain any periodic cycle and since by Remark 4.1

$$\mathcal{Y}_P \cap \Delta_P \subset D_P \cap \Delta_P = \{(z,z) \mid P'(z) = 0\}$$

we can conclude that $\mathcal{Y}_P \cap \Delta_P = \emptyset$, hence $\mathcal{Y}_P$ contains only mirrored pairs whose mirror never breaks.

Since $\mathcal{Y}_P$ is closed, invariant under P, and contains no periodic points, it follows that $\mathcal{Y}_P \subset J_P \times J_P$, where J_P is the Julia set of P. Indeed, if $\mathcal{Y}_P$ enters the Fatou component of an attracting or parabolic periodic point z_0, it follows that $(z_0, z_0) \in \mathcal{Y}_P$. Since $\mathcal{Y}_P$ is algebraic, it has only finitely many connected components, and since it is also invariant there exists $k \geq 1$ such that every connected component is invariant for P^k. Let $V \subset \mathcal{Y}_P$ be any connected component and let $p_1 : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ be a projection to the first coordinate. The projection of a algebraic set is a semi-algebraic set which is a triangulable space. Lefschetz fixed point Theorem states that every continuous self-map f of compact triangulable space X with non-zero Lefschetz number

$$\Lambda_f := \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \mathrm{Tr}(f_* \mid H_k(X, \mathbb{Q}))$$

has a fixed point.

If $p_1(V)$ is contractible, then $H_k(p_1(V), \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$, hence

$$\Lambda_{P^k} = \mathrm{Tr}(P_*^k \mid H_0(p_1(V), \mathbb{Q})) = 1.$$

It follows that P^k has a fixed point which is a contradiction with our earlier observation that $\mathcal{Y}_P$ has no periodic points.

Suppose that $p_1(V)$ is not contractible. Then there is a P^k-invariant bounded Fatou component Ω whose boundary is a subset of $p_1(V)$. By replacing V with $P^n(V)$ for some $n < k$ if necessary we may assume that Ω contains a critical point. A map $P^k : \partial\Omega \to \partial\Omega$ is a holomorphic self-map of a Jordan curve, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{P^k} &= \mathrm{Tr}(P^k_* \mid H_0(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{Q})) - \mathrm{Tr}(P^k_* \mid H_1(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{Q})) \\ \Lambda_{P^k} &= 1 - \mathrm{degree}(\mathrm{P^k}, \partial\Omega) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that P^k has a fixed point in $\partial\Omega \subset p_1(V)$ which is again a contradiction.□

Remark 4.5. It is clear from the definition of the set X, that the constant N in Theorem 1.4 can be chosen to depend only on the degree of a polynomial. We argue now that the same holds for the constant M. Recall that M was given in the construction of the set $\mathcal{X}$, hence M depends only on the degree of a polynomial. From the definition of the set $\mathcal{Y}_P$ we get an integer $K := K(P)$ that depends on P and we have seen that for a generic P the set $\mathcal{Y}_P$ is an empty set. We claim that for a generic P the constant K is bounded above by M, hence $\mathcal{X}_P = \Delta_P$. Given a polynomial P for which $\mathcal{Y}_P = \emptyset$, suppose there is $(z, w, P) \in \mathcal{X}_P$ such that $z \neq w$. Since $(P^K(z), P^K(w), P) \in \Delta_P$ this contradicts the property $\Psi(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$ whenever $K > M$.

The following two examples show that the set of polynomials satisfying Theorem 1.4 is not open, but it has a non-empty interior.

Example 4.1. Take polynomials $P_{\varepsilon,\varphi}(z) = z + e^{i\varphi}(z^2 + \varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. Observe that for a generic $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$ polynomial $P_{0,\varphi}(z) = z + e^{i\varphi} z^2$ satisfies all the conditions in the proof of Theorem 1.4, hence there exist $P_{0,\varphi}$ for which the Theorem 1.4 holds. Now observe that $P_{\varepsilon,a}$ converges to $P_{0,a}$ and that every $P_{\varepsilon,a}$ has two fixed points $-i\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and $+i\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ with the same real parts.

Example 4.2. Let $d \geq 2$ and $P(z) = iz^d - e^{i\psi}c$ where $c := c(d)$ is some large positive constant. We will prove that P and any small perturbation of P satisfies Theorem 1.4.

Let us define $R_c = \sqrt[d]{c + c^{\frac{3}{2d}}}$, $r_c = \sqrt[d]{c - c^{\frac{3}{2d}}}$ and for every $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}$ we define

$$V_k = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid r_c < |z| < R_c, \quad \left| d \arg z - \psi - 2k\pi - \frac{\pi}{2} \right| < \left| \arccos \frac{R_c}{c} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right| \right\}.$$

Observe that $|\arccos \frac{R_c}{c} - \frac{\pi}{2}|$ tends to 0 when c is sent to infinity. Now define

$$V = \bigcup_{k=0}^{d-1} V_k.$$

We will see that for sufficiently large c our map P will map a complement of V into the complement of a ball of radius R_c centered at the origin. It is easy to verify that

P maps the ball of radius r_c to the complement of the ball of radius R_c, and that P maps to the complement of the ball of radius R_c to itself. Observe that

$$|P(re^{i\varphi})| = \sqrt{r^{2d} + c^2 + 2cr^d \sin(d\varphi - \psi)}$$

and that one obtains a minimum when $r^d = -c \sin(d\varphi - \psi)$, hence

$$|P(re^{i\varphi})| \geq c|\cos d\varphi - \psi|.$$

A φ for which $|P(re^{i\varphi})| > R_c$ must satisfy $|\cos(d\varphi - \psi)| > \frac{R_c}{c}$.

Taking c sufficiently large we can assume that the critical point has an unbounded orbit, hence the Julia set J_P is totally disconnected and it is contained in V. Observe that every V_k contains a fixed point. By taking $\psi = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{5}}$ any other irrational angle and by increasing c if necessary we may assume that the projections of V_k to the real line are pairwise disjoint.

Using symbolic dynamics we can uniquely identified every point from the Julia set, by elements in $\{1, \ldots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is such sequence then there is a unique point $z \in J_P$ with the property $P^n(z) \in V_{a_n}$. This implies that points in the Julia set can not mirror each other, moreover it follows from Lemma 4.1 that points in Julia set have no mirrors. As a consequence we obtain that the set $\mathcal{Y}$, defined in the proof of the Theorem 1.4, is an empty set. Hence every mirror breaks at time $k \leq M$.

By Man-Sad-Sullivan [5] our polynomial P is J-stable polynomial when c is large, hence the dynamics of small perturbation of polynomial P resembles the dynamics of initial polynomial P, moreover their Julia sets are topologically conjugated. Since above equations are only slightly perturbed when P is perturbed we can conclude that there exists an open set of polynomials satisfying Theorem 1.4.

We end this paper with a following question: *Is there an open and dense set of polynomials satisfying Theorem 1.4?*

We have seen that for a generic P we have $(\mathcal{X} \backslash \Delta) \cap \mathbb{C}^2 \times \{P\} = \emptyset$. If one can prove that $\overline{\mathcal{X} \backslash \Delta} \cap \mathbb{C}^2 \times \{P\} = \emptyset$ for a dense set of polynomials, than the answer to this question is positive, since the projection of $\overline{\mathcal{X} \backslash \Delta}$ to the polynomial coordinate is a semi-algebraic set.

Acknowledgments

Author would like would like to express his gratitude to Han Peters for helpful conversations and comments during the time of research and during the process of writing this article. Author was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency (ARRS).

References

[1] R. Bowen, *Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **153** (1971), 401–414.

[2] R. Bowen, *Topological entropy for noncompact sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **184** (1973), 125–136.

[3] M. Brin, A. Katok, *On local entropy*, Geometric Dynamics, Springer Lecture Notes, **1007** (1983), 30–38.

[4] J.E. Fornæss, H. Peters, *Complex dynamics with focus on the real part*, to appear in Erg. Th. Dyn. Syst.

[5] R. Mane, P. Sad, D. Sullivan, *On the dynamics of rational maps*, Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. (4) **16** (1983), no. 2, 193–217.

[6] M. Pollicott, M. Yuri, *Dynamical systems and ergodic theory*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 40. Cambridge University Press (1998)

[7] F. Takens, *Detecting strange attractors in turbulence*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **898**, (1981) pp. 366–381,

[8] F. Takens,*The reconstruction theorem for endomorphisms* Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **33** (2002), no. 2, 231–262.